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Recent findings provide evidence that tDNAs function as chromatin insulators from yeast to humans. TFIIIC, a transcription factor that interacts with the B-box in tDNAs as well as thousands of ETC sites in the genome, is responsible for insulator function. Though tDNAs are capable of enhancer-blocking and barrier activities for which insulators are defined, new insights into the relationship between insulators and chromatin structure suggest that TFIIIC serves a complex role in genome organization. We review the role of tRNA genes and TFIIIC as chromatin insulators, and highlight recent findings that have broadened our understanding of insulators in genome biology.

Introduction

Insulators are a class of DNA regulatory elements defined by their ability to protect genes from position effects in transgene assays. Though numerous examples of insulator-mediated enhancer-blocking and heterochromatin barrier activities have been well studied, in depth interrogation of endogenous insulators and their relationship with the physical and functional organization of eukaryotic genomes paints a far more complex picture. Insulators are enriched at the borders of physical domains in both Drosophila melanogaster and mammals, consistent with a role in chromatin domain organization.1-4 However, neither mutations in or RNAi depletion of insulator proteins in D. melanogaster lead to substantial alterations in chromatin structure or gene activity,5,6 suggesting barrier activity is not a general feature of most endogenous insulator sites. Instead, insulator proteins appear to be involved in mediating long-range inter- and intra-chromosomal arrangements that can direct the nuclear co-localization of specific sequences. For example, insulator proteins localize to both repressive Polycomb (Pc) bodies and active transcription factories,7 and have been shown to underlie interactions between Pc target sites8 and the maintenance of H3K27me3 within repressive Pc domains.6 Mapping of interactions facilitated by insulator protein CTCF in mouse embryonic stem cells suggest insulators also contribute to genome organization by forming chromatin loops in which active or repressed genes are harnessed for coregulation, and by facilitating enhancer-promoter interactions.9 Supporting evidence comes from recent analyses of the HOXA locus, wherein developmental regulation of gene expression is accomplished in part by CTCF, which facilitates selective gene activation through chromatin loop formation.10

Though chromatin insulators continue to outgrow the classical barrier and enhancer-blocking roles that operationally defined these elements, these criteria have allowed for identification of the DNA elements and associated proteins required for insulator activity, including the recent demonstration that tRNA genes and TFIIIC act as insulators from yeast to humans.11 tDNA-mediated insulator activity depends on recruitment of RNA polymerase III (RNAP III) transcription factor TFIIIC,11,12 which also targets numerous RNAP III-independent sites that are equally capable of insulator
activity when multimerized. The parallel between TFIIIC recruitment to conserved DNA elements and other well characterized insulators, such as CTCF, suggests an exciting and novel role for TFIIIC in genome biology as the most highly conserved insulator complex. Here we review the role of tRNA genes and TFIIIC as chromatin insulators, including their discovery as heterochromatin barriers in yeast, and progress to our current understanding of insulators and their role in genome organization. We end by providing predictions for how tDNA insulators might contribute to chromatin organization and the mechanisms that likely underlie specialization and regulation of TFIIIC insulator function based on our rapidly evolving understanding of insulator proteins in other model systems.

**The Most Highly Conserved Insulator**

**Discovery in yeast.** tRNA genes were first identified as insulators in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, wherein deletion of a tRNA<sup>Thr</sup> gene at the transcriptionally silent *HMR* locus results in the spread of silencing and partial repression of a downstream gene. tDNA mediated insulator activity was subsequently demonstrated in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, wherein deletion of a centromeric tRNA<sup>Thr</sup> gene leads to a spread of pericentromeric heterochromatin and gene silencing similar to studies in *S. cerevisiae*, though the mechanisms and components underlying silenced chromatin are distinct between the two species. However, not all tRNA or RNAP III transcribed genes are competent insulators, and further analyses revealed an important role for RNAP III transcription factors and tDNA promoter occupancy. Promoter organization within tRNA genes and most RNAP III transcribed units includes the A-box and B-box promoter elements, to which RNAP III transcription factor TFIIIC binds and recruits TFIIIB for transcription initiation. Point mutations in either A-box or B-box in the *HMR* tRNA<sup>Thr</sup> boundary results in loss of insulator function, and *S. cerevisiae* strains mutant in components of TFIIIC or TFIIIB show similar loss of activity, suggesting an important role for TFIIIC and TFIIIB in tDNA mediated insulator function. TFIIIC is also essential for tDNA-mediated insulator activity in *S. pombe*, and the recruitment to highly conserved promoter elements is strikingly similar to the recruitment of insulator proteins to cognate regulatory elements in both Drosophila and mammals.

**tDNA insulators in mammals.** Identification and characterization of insulator elements and proteins capable of functional gene insulation began in *D. melanogaster* thanks to the many advantages of the robust fruit fly model system. Early studies demonstrated the ability of regions flanking the 87A7 heat shock locus, characterized by their specialized chromatin structures and labeled scs and scs’ accordingly, to protect reporter genes from chromosomal position effects. Insulator studies have since identified several proteins required for insulator function in Drosophila, including Zeste-white 5 and Boundary Element Associated Factor of 32 kDa, which are recruited to the scs and scs’ elements respectively. GAGA factor, Suppressor of Hairy-wing, and a Drosophila homolog that shares similar domain structure and insulator function with mammalian CTCF (Fig. 1). Despite numerous insulator proteins in *D. melanogaster*, studies in mammals have long been limited to examples of CTCF-mediated insulator activity, suggesting, until recently, that CTCF represents the most highly conserved insulator protein. However, two novel studies independently present evidence that tDNAs may also serve as chromatin insulators in mammals, supporting a highly conserved role.
for tRNA genes and TFIIIC in genome biology. Transgenic reporter assays in murine erythroid leukemia (MEL) cells were used to demonstrate that clusters of tRNA genes are capable of protecting a reporter gene from silencing activity. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) against RNAP III machinery confirms the enrichment of RNAP III, TFIIIB and TFIIIC, and deletion of A-box promoter elements leads to the spread of silencing chromatin, suggesting the recruitment of TFIIIC is also essential for insulator activity in mammalian cells. In humans, tRNA genes also cluster throughout the genome, and share a high degree of syntenic conservation, implying the locations of tDNAs are functionally significant. Comparison of tDNAs with transition zones that demarcate repressive chromatin domains, which are characterized by the presence of histone H3 K27 trimethylation (H3K27me3), reveals an enrichment of occupied tDNAs over inactive tDNAs, and analyses of individual loci demonstrate TFIIIC occupancy at these putative tDNA insulators. Transgenic reporter assays confirm the ability of human tDNAs to block repression, both in S. pombe and human embryonic kidney cells, and Raab et al. further show that human tDNAs posses enhancer-blocking activities that are dependent on intact B-box promoter elements.

Though intriguing, the correlation of tDNAs at transition zones and ability to function as enhancer-blockers or heterochromatin barriers in transgenic reporter assays shed little insight into the true nature of what roles tDNAs play in chromatin structure and genome organization in mammals. For one, CTCF is also conserved and highly important roles in which it binds, and several studies suggest TFIIIC localizes to many transcription-independent sites, called ETC (extra TFIIIC) sites, throughout both yeast and human genomes. In S. pombe, TFIIIC associates with B-box sequences flanking the matting-type (mat) heterochromatin domain independently of RNAP III, and is required for functional insulator activity. TFIIIC may function alone as a competent insulator (Fig. 1). TFIIIC bound ETC sites also possess insulator activity, particularly when multimerized in S. cerevisiae. However, multiple orphan B-box elements were unable to exhibit barrier activity in transgenic reporter assays conducted in MEL cells, suggesting additional factors may be necessary for competent insulator function in mammals, or that barrier assays do not accurately reflect the role of endogenous TFIIIC sites.

The TFIIIC Insulator Complex

The TFIIIC transcription factor is a multisubunit complex ultimately composed of six individual proteins, and this subunit composition is conserved from S. cerevisiae to humans. TFIIIC conservation parallels that of the promoter elements to which it binds, and several studies suggest TFIIIC associates with cohesins,52 together suggesting TFIIIC insulators likely also participate in three-dimensional genome structure. In addition to recruiting essential co-factors, insulator proteins can also associate with other, distinct insulators to presumably establish a more robust chromatin insulator complex. For example, in D. melanogaster, CTCF clusters at many sites with BEAF-32 and/or Su(Hw), and these sites commonly flank physical chromatin domains, including repressive H3K27me3 domains. However, combinatorial knockdown of insulator proteins disrupts the level of H3K27me3 within rather than outside of these domains, suggesting insulators may align to strengthen long-range interactions important for Polycomb (Pc) mediated gene silencing. Though insulator alignment has not yet been observed in mammals, there is preliminary evidence to suggest a similar relationship may exist between TFIIIC and vertebrate CTCF. Mapping of TFIIIC
sites in both human and mouse ES cells demonstrate that ETC sites bound by TFIIIC are often located close to CTCF-binding sites.42,53 Meanwhile, prediction of boundaries between topological domains in human cells based on chromatin and transcriptional states provides evidence for CTCF and tDNA enrichment at the boundaries between these domains,35 suggesting CTCF may cluster with TFIIIC, analogous to Drosophila proteins, at the borders of topological chromatin domains. The recruitment of cohesins and co-localization with CTCF at physical domain borders ultimately suggest TFIIIC may play an equally important role in eukaryotic genome organization.

Roles In Genome Organization

Microscopy-based interrogation of insulator proteins and genome-wide mapping of physical interactions provide mounting evidence that insulators are critical players in three-dimensional genome organization. In both D. melanogaster and mammals, insulator proteins interact with and localize to nuclear substructures, including the nuclear and nucleolar peripheries,56 and coalesce into distinct nuclear foci termed insulator bodies,2,57 together suggesting insulators interact and direct the localization of associated chromatin to defined nuclear compartments. Supporting evidence comes from recent demonstration that insulators underlie long-range Pc interactions and are important for the maintenance of H3K27me3 levels within Pc domains in Drosophila.4,9,38 Meanwhile, insulator proteins are distributed across the genome at thousands of sites,32,59-63 and are significantly enriched at the borders of lamina-associated domains,54,65 consistent with microscopy-based staining of perinuclear insulator bodies. Numerous studies have also characterized the ability of CTCF and Drosophila insulator proteins to mediate locus specific interactions,45,46 and recent genome-wide profiling of CTCF interactions in mouse ES cells suggests CTCF facilitates coregulation of related genes by establishing chromatin loops enriched for active or repressive epigenetic signatures, and by bridging interactions between enhancers and promoters.10

The recruitment of cohesin complexes to TFIIIC sites and the putative relationship between TFIIIC and CTCF would suggest a similar role for tDNAs in genome organization. Indeed, characterization of tDNA insulators has drawn many parallels to features implicating CTCF and Drosophila insulator proteins in nuclear architecture. Beyond having a similar genome-wide distribution, dispersed tRNA genes cluster in the nucleolus,66 in a condensin-dependent manner,67 and immunofluorescent staining of TFIIIC in S. pombe reveals perinuclear and nucleolar proximal bodies, suggesting TFIIIC sites also cluster into insulator bodies.33 Perinuclear co-localization of two alleles depends on intact B-box elements, suggesting TFIIIC is essential for tethering target loci, termed Chromosome-Organizing Clamps (COC), to the nuclear periphery.43 Perinuclear positioning may also rely on nuclear pore proteins (NUPs), which commonly influence gene activity by regulating the intranuclear position of a given locus.68 For example, the silent HMR domain localizes to the nuclear periphery in S. cerevisiae, a feature that depends on NUPs, which localize to the tDNA bordering the HMR locus.69 TFIIIC has also been shown to direct RNAP III independent ETC sites to the nuclear periphery in S. cerevisiae, and induced degradation of subunit Tfc3 using an auxin-based degron system causes release, confirming that TFIIIC is directly involved in tethering.70 Perinuclear recruitment of ETC sites also relies on Mps3, an inner nuclear membrane domain protein, which effectively competes away peripheral tethering when overexpressed,70 suggesting a direct or indirect interaction with TFIIIC at the nuclear periphery. Interestingly, localization of ETC sites to the nuclear periphery is not essential for insulator activity, implying that the roles of TFIIIC in genome organization may be separable from the observed boundary function in yeast.

Beyond microscopy-based observations of TFIIIC mediated positioning, recent developments in genomic strategies for assaying chromosomal interactions have allowed an unprecedented look into the principles governing three-dimensional folding principles of interphase chromosomes,71,72 allowing for an unbiased query of the relationship between insulators and chromatin organization. In one study, Noble and colleagues recently devised a chromosome conformation capture (3C) based high-throughput derivative to map cis- and trans- interactions across the entire genome in S. cerevisiae. Interactions between tRNA genes are significantly enriched, and tDNAs generally co-localize into two clusters associated with the nucleolus or centromeres.73 Analogous determination of genome organization in both D. melanogaster and humans has revealed chromosomal organization in the form of discrete physical domains that can be epigenetically defined by chromatin and transcriptional signatures.1,3 Drosophila insulator proteins, mammalian CTCF, and tRNA genes are all enriched at the borders of physical domains genome-wide,1,2 providing evidence that in addition to classical insulator activities, tDNAs are distributed similarly to CTCF. Targeted mapping of interactions to a specific tDNA cluster in humans further demonstrated that tDNAs preferentially interact with other tDNAs, as well as ETC loci, in mammals.12 These findings provide compelling evidence that, like CTCF and other insulator proteins, TFIIIC establishes insulator-insulator interactions and thereby influences genome structure on a global scale.

Perspectives

New strategies for assaying genome structure and the improved accessibility of high resolution chromatin profiling have allowed for rapid growth in our understanding of how chromosomes are physically and functionally arranged, and to what degree chromatin insulators play a role in facilitating genome organization. Recent studies provide compelling evidence that insulators indeed play a large-scale role beyond the scope of simple enhancer-blocking and barrier activities that operationally defined these elements, but also raise questions concerning how individual insulator complexes are regulated and specialized. In particular, though insulator proteins are enriched at sites bordering discrete physical domains, they are also found dispersed within
domains, leading one to ask what makes individual insulators different. Insulator studies in Drosophila suggest that the answer may involve cooperative binding between different classes of insulators, and through regulation of the recruitment of DNA-binding insulator proteins and additional co-factors. These findings lead us to speculate that future studies may uncover similar mechanisms underlying tDNA insulator activity, including potential collaboration with insulator protein CTCF.

Insulator collaboration. CTCF aligns with Drosophila insulator proteins BEAF-32 and Su(Hw) at the borders of physical chromatin domains in D. melanogaster, where CTCF then becomes enriched for co-factors essential for insulator activity.6 The alignment of discrete insulators may provide advantages with respect to DNA accessibility and recruitment of DNA-binding insulator proteins. In D. melanogaster, CTCF, Su(Hw), and BEAF-32 all commonly function through the recruitment of additional proteins, Centromosomal Protein 190 (CP190) and Modifier of mdg4 (Mod(mdg4)).6,7,25 Therefore, clustering may also allow for efficient recruitment of essential co-factors, thereby ensuring a functional and robust multi-insulator complex, perhaps to strengthen the need for chromatin insulators from yeast to humans. Recent advances have greatly extended our understanding of insulators and their role in genome organization, and provide a valuable framework for querying the importance of TFIIIC as a conserved insulator complex in future studies.
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Conclusions

The discovery of tDNA insulator function in humans is significant and establishes tRNA genes as serving a highly conserved role in genome biology that parallels its fundamental role in protein biosynthesis. The level of TFIIIC conservation and its apparent role in genome organization perhaps reflects the importance of appropriate genome structure, and the need for chromatin insulators from yeast to humans. Conclusions
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Figure 2. Regulation of insulator function. (A) The genomic localization of insulator proteins is cell type-specific, suggesting that insulator function can be controlled by regulated binding of the DNA binding components and accessory proteins. (B) At some sites, which are presumably not functional, the DNA binding protein is present but the accessory proteins are absent. The cell may be able to regulate insulator function at these sites by controlling the recruitment of accessory proteins via covalent modification. (C) In Drosophila, clusters of insulator sites are enriched at the borders of topological chromosome domains and the borders of repressed domains containing H3K27me3. These clusters may represent very strong insulators that play a special role in genome organization. (D) Proposed model for how the CTCF and TFIIIC insulators in vertebrates could partner to create a stronger insulator.
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